

# President's Commission on Critical Infrastructure Protection

## Legal Recommendations Overview





# Legal Landscape *Conclusion*

- ◆ “Infrastructure assurance” is really about instilling cultural change
  - Encouraging *businesses* to better manage emerging risk
  - Encouraging *government* to realign itself to address emerging threats and vulnerabilities
  - Encouraging *individuals* to practice better systems and information security at home and work
  
- ◆ We should also seek to promote cultural change within legal institutions
  - Federal, state, local, international
  - Raise awareness of infrastructure assurance concerns and objectives
  - Encourage closer examination of existing laws in light of those objectives

# Legal Recommendations

## *New Challenges in Protecting Our Critical Infrastructures*

### **Legal recommendations intended to address:**

- ◆ emerging irrelevance of traditional geographic and jurisdictional boundaries
- ◆ growth in availability of wide range of tools and know-how for attacking critical infrastructures
- ◆ failure of the law to keep pace with emergence of networked environment and new threats to critical infrastructures
- ◆ organizational and institutional barriers to properly addressing infrastructure assurance-related issues



# Legal Recommendations

## **OBJECTIVES:**

- ★ *Improve Effectiveness of Government Assurance Efforts*
  - serve as model of sound practices
  - prevent, deter, and respond to attacks
  - aid in recovery from harmful events
  
- ★ *Enable Private Sector Protective Action*
  - take precautions against the insider threat
  
- ★ *Overcome Legal Impediments to Public-Private Partnership*
  - promote information sharing
  - promote public-private policy formulation



# Legal Recommendations: *Increasing Effectiveness of Federal Assurance Efforts*

**GOAL:** Federal Government Take the Lead in  
Adopting Appropriate Assurance Measures

- Adopt government “model performance” measures--actions that can be taken unilaterally by the federal government to improve its performance and influence the private sector
  - procurement practices
  - publication of infrastructure assurance data
  - certifications
  
- Clarify procedures to facilitate vulnerability assessments for government systems



# Legal Recommendations: *Increasing Effectiveness of Federal Assurance Efforts*

## **GOAL:**

**Federal Government Take the Lead in  
Evaluating Effectiveness of Assurance  
Measures**

- ⇒ Use strategic planning and performance measurement framework (GPRA) to highlight assurance responsibilities and initiatives
- ⇒ Amend ITMRA to require development of performance measures relating to system security



# Legal Recommendations: *Increasing Effectiveness of Federal Assurance Efforts*

## **GOAL:**

**Improve Federal Government's Ability to Prevent, Deter and Respond to Attacks on Critical Infrastructures**

- ➔ Enhance criminal law and procedure as applied to threats--*physical & cyber*--to critical infrastructures
  - Sentencing Guidelines
    - sentences should reflect “downstream” harm
    - sentences should reflect “informational” harm
  - Procedural Issues
    - Infrastructures as “instrumentalities” of interstate commerce
    - Reward programs for information leading to capture of terrorists
    - cross-jurisdictional trace and search court orders
    - continued support of international cooperative efforts
  - Study of Juvenile Offenders



# Legal Recommendations: *Increasing Effectiveness of Federal Assurance Efforts*

**GOAL:** Improve Federal Government's Ability to Prevent & Mitigate, Reconstitute and Recover From Harm to Critical Infrastructures

- ➔ Reassess major federal legislation in light of infrastructure assurance concerns
  - Defense Production Act
    - study priorities in contract for use in post-event reconstitution and recovery
  - Stafford Act/Federal Response Plan
    - study appropriateness as response to cyber incidents, including desirability of providing direct assistance to owners and operators
  - Nunn-Lugar-Domenici
    - Consider expansion beyond WMD to other physical attacks on critical infrastructures, and appropriateness for cyber event





# Legal Recommendations: *Enabling Private Sector Protective Action*

**GOAL:** Expand Private Sector's Ability to Counter Insider Threat Through Limited Use of Background Information When Hiring for Sensitive Positions

- ⇒ Convene expert study group to balance owner-operators' need to conduct background investigations with employees' privacy interests.
- Consider allowing some owners and operators to request from employees for sensitive positions information similar to that which is routinely be obtained by the federal government when issuing security clearances:
    - Criminal history information
    - Credit history information
    - Employment history



# Legal Recommendations: *Enabling Private Sector Protective Action*

**GOAL:** Enable the Private Sector to More Confidently Hire Computer Security Personnel

- ➔ Extend laws governing providers of physical security to those who provide computer and information security
  - *For example:* extend exemption for physical security personnel in Employee Polygraph Protection Act to also cover computer security personnel





# Legal Recommendations: *Reassessing Legal Impediments to Partnership*



**GOAL:** To Promote Formation of Effective Public-Private Partnership Where Needed for Infrastructure Assurance

- I. Address Legal Impediments to Public-Private Information Sharing
- II. Address Legal Impediments to Public-Private Policy Formulation



# Legal Recommendations: *Reassessing Legal Impediments to Partnership*

## **GOAL:**

To Create a Trusted Environment for Voluntary Sharing of Sensitive Threat and Vulnerability Information

- Private sector and private sector
- Government and government
- Government and private sector

**Key Observation:** To promote voluntary sharing of sensitive information, the government must demonstrate that information can be appropriately protected from unauthorized disclosure



# Legal Recommendations: *Reassessing Legal Impediments to Partnership*

- ⇒ **Creating a trusted environment for information sharing that adequately addresses legal impediments:**
- ***Antitrust***- offer limited assurances that participation in sanctioned information sharing processes will not run afoul of antitrust laws
  - ***Liability***- participants in sanctioned information sharing activities (including the government) should study liability consequences and specifically enumerate duties and obligations arising out of participation
  - ***National security***- participants in sanctioned information sharing activities should set guidelines for the sharing of threat and vulnerability information with foreign corporations or multinationals



# Legal Recommendations: *Reassessing Legal Impediments to Partnership*

- ⇒ **Creating a trusted environment for information sharing that adequately addresses legal impediments:**
- ***Classified and proprietary information***- sanctioned information-sharing activities should derive informational value from, but continue to protect, classified and proprietary information
  - ***Access to government information***- sensitive information derived through sanctioned information sharing activities should be protected from disclosure under laws requiring public access to federal government information (e.g., FOIA)
  - ***State and local participation***- participation in sanctioned information sharing activities should not be precluded as a result of laws requiring public access to state government information

# Legal Recommendations: *Reassessing Legal Impediments to Partnership*

## **GOAL:**

To Create a Trusted Environment in Which to Promote Candid Policy Discussions Involving Sensitive Threat and Vulnerability Information

- ➔ Consider exemptions from public meeting requirements (e.g., FACA) for sanctioned public-private policy formulation discussions involving sensitive information about threats to and vulnerabilities of critical national infrastructures

